PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶:

G06F 13/00, 1/32

(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/37307

(43) International Publication Date: 9 October 1997 (09.10.97)

US

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/04375

(22) International Filing Date: 19 March 1997 (19.03.97)

(30) Priority Data:

08/623,978

29 March 1996 (29.03.96)

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): INTEL COR-PORATION [US/US]; 2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): MATES, John, William, Benson [US/US]; 8945 N.W. Oak Street, Portland, OR 97229 (US).

(74) Agents: TAYLOR, Edwin, H. et al.; Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman L.L.P., 1279 Oakmead Parkway, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 (US). (81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AT (Utility model), AU (Petty patent), AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, CZ (Utility model), DE, DE (Utility model), DK, DK (Utility model), EE, EE (Utility model), ES, FI, FI (Utility model), GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SK (Utility model), TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published


With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: METHOD & APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING POWER CONSUMPTION IN A MICROPROCESSOR

(57) Abstract

A system for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor. The microprocessor fetches an instruction (210) from memory (350). The instruction is decoded (215), producing an operation flow of at least one operation. Then, power micro-operations are introduced into the operation flow. These power micro-operations provide power consumption control functions for those functional units which are required to execute the various operations which have been decoded (215) from the fetched instruction (210). The operations and power micro-operations are then scheduled for dispatch to the appropriate execution units. The scheduling is based on the availability of the appropriate execution units and the validity of operation data (225). The operations and power micro-operations are dispatched to the appropriate execution units (230), where the operations and power micro-operations are executed (235). The execution results are subsequently committed to the processor state in the original program order (240).



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	Pl	Pioland	LT	Lithoania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	8 Z	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ.	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Paso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IB	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BIR	Brazil	ĬL.	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	п	kaiy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CEF	Central African Republic	JР	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CFR	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI.	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakutan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	īc	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	ŭ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
ER.	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

WO 97/37307 PCT/US97/04375

-1-

METHOD & APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING POWER CONSUMPTION IN A MICROPROCESSOR

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention pertains to the field of integrated circuits. More particularly, this invention relates to reducing power consumption in a microprocessor.

BACKGROUND OF THE RELATED ART

Advances in silicon process technology and microprocessor architecture have led to very complex microprocessors containing millions of transistors. As the complexity of these microprocessors increases, the power consumed by these devices increases as well. Increased power consumption results in increased operating costs and computer system over-heating problems, which lead to reliability problems. Also, the increased power consumption leads to shorter battery life in battery operated computers.

Methods for controlling power consumption include causing the clock signal coupled to the microprocessor to stop when the microprocessor is idle, or by reducing the frequency of the microprocessor clock. The problem with reducing the microprocessor clock frequency or stopping the microprocessor clock is that both methods negatively affect system performance. More particularly, software control is required to monitor system events, which increases system overhead. Also, reduced clock frequency leads directly to

reduced microprocessor performance. Further, the system overhead requirements increase dramatically in multi-processor systems, as the operating system needs to track the activity of each microprocessor.

Another method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor includes placing circuitry throughout the microprocessor that tracks events that occur within the microprocessor. The circuitry is designed to detect the occurrence of certain events within the microprocessor, and to take steps to perform power management functions, typically shutting down a clock signal feeding a particular functional unit within the microprocessor.

However, this approach has some drawbacks. The power management circuitry in prior microprocessors is spread throughout the microprocessor. There is no single control unit that takes care of all power management functions. Because the power management circuitry is spread throughout the microprocessor, power management circuit design becomes very difficult, and the circuitry becomes difficult to debug. In particular, microprocessors which perform out-of-order execution of operations cause power management circuit design and debug difficulties because the order of operation execution cannot be predetermined. Also, the power saving abilities of the power management circuitry are limited by the amount of intelligence designed into the circuitry. As more intelligence is designed into these circuits, the circuits become larger and require more die real estate, which increases manufacturing costs.

-3-

The previously discussed limitations of prior systems for controlling power consumption in microprocessors, including software overhead, reduced performance, and circuit design and debug difficulties, result in computer systems that suffer from reduced performance, inefficient power management, and increased cost.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method and apparatus for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor.

In the present invention, a microprocessor fetches an instruction from memory. The instruction is then decoded, and at least one operation is decoded from the instruction. Power microoperations are introduced into the operation flow that provide control functions for those functional units which are required to execute the various operations which have been decoded from the fetched instruction. The operations and power micro-operations are scheduled for dispatch to the appropriate execution units. Once scheduled, the operations and power micro-operations are dispatched to the appropriate execution units, where the operations and power micro-operations are executed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 depicts a method for fetching, decoding, executing, and writing results in one embodiment of a microprocessor implemented in accordance with the teachings of the present invention.

WO 97/37307 PCT/US97/04375

-4-

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of one embodiment of a microprocessor performing out-of-order dispatch and execution of operations configured in accordance with the teachings of the present invention.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a microprocessor configured in accordance with the teachings of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram of one embodiment of an instruction decoder configured in accordance with the teachings of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Methods and apparatus for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor are disclosed. In the following description, for purposes of explanation, specific nomenclature is set forth to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, it will be apparent to one skilled in the art that these specific details are not required to practice the present invention. In other instances, well known circuits and devices are shown in block diagram form to avoid obscuring the present invention unnecessarily.

For the purposes of illustration, the present invention is described in the context of an architecture and instructions compatible with the Intel® microprocessor architecture (Intel is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation). However, it is contemplated that the present invention may be practiced with other instruction sets and architectures as well, including reduced instruction set computers (RISC). In the following description, the exemplary instructions supplied to the decoder (termed "macroinstructions") have the well-known format of the Intel instruction set which is described, for example, in the i486TM Programmers Reference Manual, 1990,

available from Intel Corporation, and the <u>Pentium™ Processor User's</u> <u>Manual</u>, Volume 3, 1994, also available from Intel Corporation.

Although the following embodiment is described using a floating point addition instruction and a floating point execution unit, the present invention may be practiced with other types of instructions and functional units as well. For example, the present invention may be practiced to enable a functional unit that accesses memory when an instruction is decoded that requires a memory access. In this manner, a microprocessor implemented in accordance with the present invention can efficiently control power consumption, since only the required functional units are enabled at a given time. Further, the present invention may be practiced to offer other types of power consumption control to the functional units other than turning the units on or off.

Further, although the following embodiment is described in the context of power management control, the present invention may be practiced to provide other forms of dynamic control to the microprocessor by way of dynamic control micro-operations. These dynamic control micro-operations perform additional control functions not necessarily dictated by the instructions. For example, the present invention may be practiced to dynamically change cache size or to adjust bus protocols depending on the instruction to be executed.

Fig. 1 depicts one embodiment of a method for fetching, decoding, executing, and writing results in accordance with the present invention. The method of Fig. 1 includes the insertion of power micro-operations, or P μ Ops, into the operation flow. The P μ Ops provide system-transparent power management control functions which enable and disable various functional units depending on the requirements of the operation flow. Referring again to Fig. 1, in the Fetch Instruction step 110, a macroinstruction is fetched from memory . In this example, the macroinstruction fetched is FADD m32 real, which adds a 32 bit floating point number stored in memory to a 32 bit floating point number stored on top of the stack,

and stores the results on top of the stack. Once the macroinstruction is fetched from memory, it is decoded at the Decode Instruction/Insert PµOps step 120. At this step, the FADD m32 real macroinstruction is broken down into a number of micro-operations (µOps). In this example, the μ Ops specify that the contents of the stack be placed in a floating point register FPR1, that the contents of memory location m32 real be moved to another floating point register FPR2, that the contents of FPR1 and FPR2 be added, and that the results of the addition are stored on top of the stack. In addition, two PµOps are inserted into the operation flow. To determine which PµOps to insert, at the Decode Instruction/Insert PµOps step 120, the macroinstruction is examined to determine which functional units are required in order to execute the decoded macroinstruction. For example, the PµOps specify that the Floating Point Execution Unit (FEU) is turned on before the floating point addition occurs and turned off again after the floating point addition has completed.

One feature of the system and method of the present invention is that the method for controlling power consumption is transparent, meaning that no software or operating system support is required. The operating system is unaware of the P μ Ops, and the power consumption control activities provided by the P μ Ops occur independent of any operating system or other software program. Since the operating system need not monitor the power consumption control activities provided by the P μ Ops, the system software overhead is reduced, providing performance advantages over prior systems and methods.

The system and method of the present invention may be implemented in a microprocessor which performs out-of-order dispatch and execution of operations. Fig. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of one embodiment of a method for performing out-of-order dispatch and execution of operations. At step 210, instructions are fetched. After the instructions are fetched, the instructions are decoded at step 215 and the appropriate $P\mu Ops$ are inserted into operation flow. At step 220, the decoded operations and

WO 97/37307 PCT/US97/04375

-7-

PμOps are issued in their original program order. At step 225, the operations are scheduled. The operations may be scheduled to be dispatched and executed out-of-order, depending on operation data dependencies and execution unit availability. The PμOps are scheduled in a way that ensures that the appropriate execution units are enabled when the corresponding operations are executed. At step 230, the operations and PμOps are dispatched to the appropriate execution units. The operations and PμOps are executed at step 235, and at step 240 the results of the executed operations are committed to the processor state in the original program order.

Fig. 3 shows a block diagram of one embodiment of a microprocessor implemented in accordance with the present invention. In the present embodiment, the Instruction Fetch Unit (IFU) 320 fetches macroinstructions. The macroinstructions may reside in main memory, which is accessed over the External Bus 301 via the Bus Unit 310, or may reside in the L2 Cache 360, which is accessed by way of the Backside Bus 302. Alternatively, the macroinstructions may reside in an instruction cache located within the microprocessor.

Once fetched, the macroinstructions are sent to the Issue Cluster 330, which includes an Instruction Decoder (ID) 332, a Micro-operation Sequencer (MS) 334, a Register Alias Table (RAT) 336, and an Allocator (ALLOC) 338. The ID 332 converts a stream of macroinstructions into μ Ops, and inserts appropriate $P\mu$ Ops into the operation flow.

For macroinstructions requiring long μ Op flow for decoding, the MS 334 is used to sequence the macroinstructions. The RAT 336 performs register renaming by mapping logical registers to physical registers in the Re-Order Buffer (ROB) 364, and the ALLOC 338 assigns and tracks resources for operation for the Out-of-Order Unit 360 and the Memory Unit 350.

The Out-of-Order Unit 360 contains the Reservation Station (RS) 362 and ROB 364. The ROB 364 includes a Real Register File (RRF) 365 that defines the architectural register set for the microprocessor. The Out-of-Order Unit 360 receives µOps and PµOps

from the Issue Cluster 330. The Out-of-Order Unit 360 merges the inorder stream of μ Ops and $P\mu$ Ops with corresponding source data provided by the ROB 364 and captured in the RS 362. The Out-of-Order Unit 360 also performs schedule, dispatch, and retirement functions. In order to perform the schedule function, the RS 362 identifies all ready-to-execute μ Ops and $P\mu$ Ops, and selects certain μ Ops and $P\mu$ Ops for dispatch to the Execution Cluster 340. The μ Ops and $P\mu$ Ops are executed in an execution unit in the Execution Cluster 340, and result data is written back to the Out-of-Order Unit 360. The ROB 364 retires the μ Ops and $P\mu$ Ops by transferring the result data to the RRF 365 in the original program order.

In order to perform the issue function implemented in the Issue Cluster 330, control information is written in ROB 364 entries and an associated ready bit is cleared. For each μ Op and $P\mu$ Op, an op code, source data, source/destination addresses, and other control information are written into the RS 362 entries. This control information may include information that binds one μ Op or $P\mu$ Op to another, thus forcing the bound pair to be executed serially. Source data for the execution units originates from either the ROB 364 or a real register contained in the RRF 365. Consequently, source data entries in the RS 362 contain a bit to identify whether the source data is stored in the ROB 364 or in the RRF 365. The valid bit in the ROB 364 indicates whether the corresponding source data entry is valid.

In order to schedule the μOps and $P\mu Ops$ for the execution units in the Execution Cluster 340, the RS 362 ascertains which μOps and $P\mu Ops$ are data ready by evaluating a corresponding data valid bit for each source data. The RS 362 then determines availability of execution units for data ready μOps and $P\mu Ops$, and schedules the μOps and $P\mu Ops$ based on a priority pointer. The $P\mu Ops$ are scheduled in a way that ensures that the various functional units required to execute the other μOps stored in the RS 362 are enabled during the required periods of time. The RS 362 may also be implemented to look for situations where a particular functional unit is scheduled to be turned on and off repeatedly, for example where a series of floating

point operations are scheduled to be executed in the Floating Point Execution Unit (FEU) 343. In such a case, the RS 362 would not schedule the P μ Ops to turn on and off the FEU for each floating point operation, but would rather schedule the P μ Ops so that the FEU 343 was turned on before executing the first floating point operation in the series and then turned off after the last floating point operation in the series was executed. Also, the P μ Ops are scheduled to be executed in parallel with other μ Ops or P μ Ops whenever possible, thus ensuring that any degradation in the otherwise available performance will be minimized. For the scheduled μ Ops and P μ Ops, the RS 362 dispatches the μ Ops and P μ Ops and associated source data to the appropriate execution unit.

Upon completion of execution of μOps and $P\mu Ops$ in the Execution Cluster 340, the execution units transmit pointer addresses to the ROB 364 prior to writing the actual result data. The pointer addresses identify ROB 364 entries that are the destinations for the result data. Subsequently, the execution unit writes result data to the specified ROB 364 entry. The RS 362 monitors the writing of result data to the ROB 364 in order to capture data required for other μOps .

In order to perform the retirement function, in which the result data is committed to the processor state, a number of consecutive entries are read out of the ROB 364 based on the physical destination identifiers. The entries read from the ROB 364 are candidates for retirement. A μ Op or a P μ Op is a candidate for retirement if a corresponding ready bit is set, the μ Op or P μ Op does not cause and exception, and all preceding μ Op candidates, in the original program order, are eligible for retirement. When μ Op or P μ Op is eligible for retirement, the RAT 336 is notified to update its look-up table, which maintains logical and physical register mapping information, and data are transferred from the ROB 364 to the RRF 365. In addition, a retirement pointer is incremented in the ROB 364 to indicate that the ROB entry has retired.

In one embodiment, the Execution Cluster 340 contains five semi-autonomous units: an address generation unit (AGU) 341, and

integer execution unit (IEU) 342, a floating point execution unit (FEU) 343, a memory interface unit (MIU) 344, and a Power Unit 345, which executes the P μ Ops. Although the Execution Cluster 340 is described in conjunction with five execution units, the Execution Cluster 340 may include any number and type of execution units without deviating from the spirit and scope of the present invention.

In one embodiment, the power unit 345 executes the PµOps by enabling or disabling clock signals that are coupled to other functional units throughout the microprocessor. These other functional units may include but are not limited to the FEU, IEU, MIU, and AGU. The power unit 345 may also be implemented to control clock signals which are coupled to registers, queues, caches, etc., thereby allowing the Power Unit to control power consumption in any unused or unneeded functional units. By disabling clock signals, the power consumed in the disabled functional units is dramatically reduced.

As shown in Fig. 3, the IFU 320 is coupled to the ID 332. In the present embodiment, the ID 332 provides multiple decoders so as to decode multiple macroinstructions simultaneously. At each clock cycle, the ID 332 receives macroinstructions from the IFU 320. In turn, the ID 332 translates the macroinstructions into μ Ops each clock cycle. Also, at each clock cycle appropriate P μ Ops are inserted into the operation flow. In addition, the ID 332 is coupled to the MS 334. The ID 332 requests microcode operation from the MS 334 for macroinstructions requiring the decoding of long microcode sequences, as is well known to those skilled in the art.

Fig. 4 is a block diagram of the ID 332 configured in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention. As explained earlier, the ID 332 converts a stream of macroinstructions into μ Ops, and inserts $P\mu$ Ops into the operation flow. The ID 332 contains an ID Input Buffer 410. A number of macroinstructions are stored in the ID Input Buffer 410 to generate a queue of macroinstructions for decoding. This queue allows the ID Input Buffer 410 to provide a steady stream of macroinstructions to the Instruction Steering Logic 420, also included in the ID 332. The Instruction Steering Logic 420

WO 97/37307 PCT/US97/04375

-11-

directs each macroinstruction to a decoder located within the issue template 430.

The issue template 430 configuration permits parallel decoding of macroinstructions. The issue template 430 specifies the number of decoders and the capabilities of each decoder. For the embodiment illustrated in Fig. 4, the ID 332 contains four decoder blocks, 440, 445, 450, and 455. The decoders 440, 445, 450, and 455 are coupled to an ID Output Queue 460. In the present embodiment, certain decoders decode all types of instructions while other decoders decode only particular instructions. The issue template 430 is configured such that decoder 440 issues up to four μOps or PμOps, and decoders 445, 450, and 455 issue up to two μOps or PμOps per clock period. Consequently, up to ten μOps or PμOps per clock period may be generated in the issue template 430. Although ID 332 is described in conjunction with four decoder blocks, any number of decoder blocks may be implemented without deviating form the spirit and scope of the invention.

The operation of each decoder 440, 445, 450, and 455 is dependent upon the particular macroinstruction set utilized by the processor. In general, each decoder block extracts operand and opcode fields from the macroinstruction in a Field Locator 465, and stores data in alias registers (not shown). Each decoder also contains at least one Translate Programmable Logic Array (XLAT PLA) 470; preferably one PLA for each μ Op or P μ Op that the decoder is capable of producing. The XLAT PLA 470 operates in parallel with the Field Locator 465, and contains microcode for generating control μ Ops and P μ Ops. An Alias Multiplexor (Alias Mux) 475 merges, as is well known in the art, the control μ Ops with data extracted by the Field Locator 465 to generate the μ Ops.

Preferably, decoder 440 decodes instructions requiring longer microcode sequencing. Furthermore, macroinstructions having greater than four μ Ops or $P\mu$ Ops summons the MS 334 to sequence the ID 332 during long microcode routines. Once the MS 334 completes the sequencing of the long microcode routines, control is returned to

the ID 332. The μOps and $P\mu Ops$ are issued in the original program order.

The ID Output Queue 460 decouples the decode pipeline from the Out-of-Order Unit 360 pipeline by buffering the decoded μ Ops and P μ Ops. The ID Output Queue 460 attempts to provide a steady flow of μ Ops and P μ Ops each clock cycle. The ID Output Queue 460 permits decoding of instructions even when the Out-of-Order Unit (360, Fig. 3) is stalled. The ID Output Queue 460 compensates for the variable number of μ Ops and P μ Ops produced per macroinstruction.

In the foregoing specification the invention has been described with reference to specific exemplary embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit and scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims. The specification and drawings are accordingly to be regarded as illustrative rather than a restrictive sense.

-13-

CLAIMS

What is Claimed is:

1. A method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor, comprising the steps of:

fetching an instruction;

decoding the instruction to produce at least one operation, thereby creating an operation flow;

inserting at least one power micro-operation into the operation flow, the power micro-operation providing power consumption control for at least one functional unit required to execute the operation;

scheduling the operation and power micro-operation for dispatch; and

dispatching the operation and power micro-operation to appropriate execution units for execution.

- 2. The method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor of claim 1, wherein the step of scheduling the operation and power micro-operation further includes scheduling the operation and power micro-operation according to availability of appropriate execution units and operation data.
- 3. The method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor of claim 2, further comprising the steps of:

executing the operation and power micro-operation to generate result data; and

committing result data to the processor state in the original program order when the result data are valid.

4. The method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor of claim 3, wherein the step of scheduling the

operation and power micro-operation for dispatch further includes scheduling the power micro-operation such that the appropriate functional units are enabled before the corresponding operation is executed and are disabled after the corresponding operation has been executed.

- 5. The method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor of claim 4, wherein the step of dispatching the operation and power micro-operation includes dispatching the power micro-operation to a power unit for execution.
- 6. The method for controlling power consumption in a microprocessor of claim 5, wherein the power unit executes the power micro-operation through manipulation of a plurality of clock signals coupled to the functional units.
 - 7. A microprocessor, comprising: means for fetching an instruction;

means for decoding the instruction to produce at least one operation, thereby creating an operation flow;

means for inserting at least one power micro-operation into the operation flow, the power micro-operation providing power consumption control for at least one functional unit required to execute the instruction;

means for scheduling the operation and power micro-operation for dispatch; and

means for dispatching the operation and power microoperation to appropriate execution units for execution.

8. The microprocessor of claim 7, wherein the means for scheduling the operation and power micro-operation for dispatch further includes means for scheduling the operation and power micro-operation for dispatch according to availability of appropriate execution units and operation data.

- 9. The microprocessor of claim 8, further comprising: means for executing the operations to generate result data; means for executing the power micro-operations; and means for committing result data to the processor state in the original program order when result data are valid.
- 10. The microprocessor of claim 9, wherein the means for scheduling the operation and power micro-operation for dispatch schedules the power micro-operation such that the appropriate functional units are enabled before the corresponding operation is executed and are disabled after the corresponding operation has been executed.
- 11. The microprocessor of claim 10, wherein the means for executing the power micro-operation executes the power micro-operation through manipulation of a plurality of clock signals coupled to the functional units.

12. A microprocessor, comprising:

an instruction decoder receiving at least one instruction, the instruction decoder producing at least one operation for each instruction, and the instruction decoder producing at least one power micro-operation providing power consumption control for at least one functional unit required to execute the operation; and

at least one execution unit, the execution unit generating result data through execution of the operation and the power micro-operation.

13. The microprocessor of claim 12, further comprising:
an out-of-order unit, the out-of-order unit scheduling the
operation and power micro-operation for dispatch according to
availability of appropriate execution units and operation data and the
out-of-order unit committing result data to the processor state in the

original program order when result data are valid after the operation and power micro-operation have been executed.

- 14. The microprocessor of claim 13 wherein the out-of-order unit schedules the power micro-operation such that the appropriate functional units are enabled before the corresponding operation is executed and are disabled after the corresponding operation has been executed.
- 15. The microprocessor of claim 14, further comprising a power unit, the power unit executing the power micro-operation.
- 16. The microprocessor of claim 15, wherein the power unit executes the power micro-operation through manipulation of a plurality of clock signals coupled to the functional units.
- 17. A method for dynamically controlling functions in a microprocessor, comprising the steps of:

fetching an instruction;

decoding the instruction to produce at least one operation, thereby creating an operation flow;

inserting at least one dynamic control micro-operation into the operation flow, the dynamic control micro-operation providing additional control for at least one functional unit required to execute the operation;

scheduling the operation and dynamic control micro-operation for dispatch; and

dispatching the operation and dynamic control micro-operation to appropriate execution units for execution.

18. The method for dynamically controlling functions in a microprocessor of claim 17, wherein the dynamic control micro-operation provides control to change cache size.

WO 97/37307

- 19. The method for dynamically controlling functions in a microprocessor of claim 17, wherein the dynamic control micro-operation provides control to change bus protocol.
- 20. The method for dynamically controlling functions in a microprocessor of claim 17, wherein the dynamic control micro-operation provides power consumption control.

21. A microprocessor, comprising:

an instruction decoder receiving at least one instruction, the instruction decoder producing at least one operation for each instruction, and the instruction decoder producing at least one dynamic control micro-operation providing additional control for at least one functional unit required to execute the operation; and

at least one execution unit, the execution unit generating result data through execution of the operation and the dynamic control micro-operation.

- 22. The microprocessor of claim 21 wherein the dynamic control micro-operation provides control to change cache size.
- 23. The microprocessor of claim 21 wherein the dynamic control micro-operation provides control to change bus protocol.
- 24. The microprocessor of claim 21 wherein the dynamic control micro-operation provides power consumption control.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

3/4




Fig. 3

4/4

Instruction Decoder 332




Fig. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US97/04375

A. C	LASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER	
IPC(6)	:G06F 13/00; G06F 1/10	
I US CL	: 395/750	
Accordin	g to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC	
B. FI	ELDS SEARCHED	
	documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)	
U.S. :	395/750; 395/ 39 3	
-		
Documen	tation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are include	
l	are include	ed in the fields searched
		
Electronic	data base consulted during the interesting t	
APS. S	data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable TN, MAYA	c, search terms used)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
C. DO	TIMPHTS CONSTRUCTOR	•
	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document with indication	
	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
X,P	US 5.537.656 A (MOZDZ	- W CHILLI NO
-	US 5,537,656 A (MOZDZEN ET AL) 16 JULY 1996 (16.07.96), FIG. 2, COLUMN 4, LINES 28-31 LINES EF OF	1.5 7 40
	(16.07.96), FIG. 2, COLUMN 4, LINES 28-31, LINES 55-65, COLUMN 5, LINES 16-33, LINES 59-61	214 15 47
	COLUMN 5, LINES 16-33, LINES 59-61	4,14-15,17-24
,	$oldsymbol{1}$	
	US 5,392,437 A (MATTER ET AL) 21 February 1995 (21.02.95), column 4 lines 3.19	• • •
j	(21.02.95), column 4, lines 3-18	6,11,16
	1	
/,E	US 5,625,789 A (HESSON et al) 29 April 1997 (29.04.97),	
	Abstract (12350N et al) 29 April 1997 (29.04.97),	13
1	- mondet	
i	1	
- 1		
1		
- 1	j	
1		
ĺ		
1	1	
i	I I	
- 1	1	
Further	documents are listed in the costinuous	
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.	
Specie	d categories of cital documents:	
Specie	I comparise of cited documents: The document published after the internet defining the general state of the art which is not seen to be a seen or a	ional filing data or priority
Special documento be a	I composite of cited documents: Interdefining the general state of the art which is not considered date and not in conflict with the application principle or theory underlying the invention of the art which is not considered.	en comme de mandicionado dos
Specia docum to be a cartier	I composite of cited documents: Interdefining the peneral state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. Interdefining the peneral state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. Interdefining the peneral state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. One of the peneral state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance of particular relevance; the characteristic of the peneral state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance.	out come to understand the
Specia docum to be a cartier docum cited a	It comparise of cited documents: Interest defining the general state of the art which is not considered If particular relevance decument published on or after the international filing date at which may these deaths on priority claim(s) or which is	out come to understand the
Special documents to be a cartier document cartier appeared a special	Interporter of cited documents: Interdefining the peneral state of the art which is not considered for principle or theory underlying the international filing date: At which may these doubts on priority claim(s) or which is publication date of another citation or other returned (so specified). At the publication date of another citation or other returns (so specified).	was cause to tradepoint file in a service cannot be involve an inventive step
Special documents to be a cartier document cartier appeared a special	In comparise of cited documents: In the document published after the international filing date. And which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is putched in the publication date of another citation or other published and or another citation or other published and published and priority claim(s) or which is published and of another citation or other published and priority claim(s) or other published after the international priority or theory underlying the internatio	instal invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention as inventive step
Special decreases to be a cartier decrease apprecial decreases apprecial apprecial decreases apprecial dec	Interview of cited documents: Interdectang the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. Interdectang the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. Interdectang the general state of the art which is not considered on an after the international filing date. Interdectang the general state of the art which is not considered to investigate the particular relevance; the characteristic of another citation or other of the particular relevance; the characteristic of another citation or other of the particular relevance; the characteristic of another citation or other of the particular relevance; the characteristic of another citation or other other and the particular relevance; the characteristic of another citation or other other and the particular relevance; the characteristic of another citation or other other and the particular relevance is the characteristic of another citation or other other and the particular relevance.	inned invention cannot be involve an inventive step involve as inventive step and invention cannot be when the document in
Special documents to be a cartier document docum	Interest of cited documents: Interest defining the peneral state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. In the document published on are after the interestional filing date. In the published on are after the interestional filing date. In the published on are after the interestional filing date. In the published on are after the interestional filing date. In the published on are after the interestional filing date. In the published prior of the properties of another citation or other of the published prior to the interestional filing date but later than In the document published of the interestional filing date but later than the document published of the interestional filing date but later than the document published of the interestional filing date but later than the document published of the interestional filing date but later than the document published of the interestional filing date but later than the document published of the interestion of the interestional filing date. In the document published of the interestion of the interestion of the interestion of the interestion of the class of the published on are after the interestional filing date. In the document published of the file interestion of the interestion of the class of the published or considered to the consid	instal invention cannot be invention or invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document is mancoin, such combination
Special documents of the control of	In comparise of cited documents: In the document published ofter the international filing date. At which may these doubte on priority claim(s) or which is combified to involve on inventional filing date. The state of particular relevance is the claim of another citation or other remain (as specified) The state of particular relevance is the claim of another citation or other remain (as specified) The state of particular relevance; the claim of another citation or other remains (as specified) The state of particular relevance; the claim of another citation or other remains (as specified) The state of particular relevance; the claim of another particular re	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Special documents to be a cartier decrease a special documents to be a cartier	decement published on or after the international filing date. The met defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. The decement published on or after the international filing date. The met which may these deades on priority claim(s) or which is considered novel or cannot be considered to involve on inventive step consid	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Special documents to be a cartier decrease a special documents to be a cartier	Interest of cited documents: Interest defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. In the document published of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. In the document published on or after the international filing date. In each filing the published on or after the international filing date. In each filing the properties of the art which is not considered to the published on or after the international filing date. In each filing the properties of the international filing date. In each filing the properties of the international filing date. In each filing the properties of the international filing date of the international search in the filing of the search in the filin	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Specia decum to be a cartier decum cived a apsocial docume menna decume the prio	decement published on or after the international filing date. "Y" decement published on or after the international filing date. "A" decement published on or after the international filing date. "A" decement published on or after the international filing date. "A" decement of particular relevance; the characteristic or which is a subdish the publication date of another citation or other runnen (as specified) and seferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other runnen (as provided to involve an investive step considered and the step of the investion of the investion of the investion	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Special decrease to be a carrier decrease cited a special document of the prior of the actual to the actual t	interfering the peneral siste of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. decement published on ar after the international filing date. and which may throw doubts on priority chain(a) or which is published about on oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other and published prior to the international filing date but later than the published prior to the international filing date but later than all completion of the international search. Date of mailing of the international search of particular relevance; the chain of an appropriate of the anno patent filing date of mailing of the international search of the anno patent filing of the international search of the anno patent filing of the international search of the anno patent filing of the international search of the anno patent filing of the international search of the anno patent filing of the international search of the anno patent filing of the international search of the annotation of the international search of the international search of the international of the internat	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Specia decum to be a cartier decum cited a apocial docume the pric of the actu JUNE 199 e and mailin missioner o a PCT	In a composite of cited documents: In a defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. In a document published on or after the international filing date. And which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is a matching the posticular solutions and of another citation or other ranson (on specified) Int serioring to an oral disclosure, use, subbition or other ranson (on specified) Int serioring to an oral disclosure, use, subbition or other and particular relevance; the claim considered to involve an investive step considered to involve an investive s	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Specia documents to be a cartier decument of the actu document of the actu JUNE 199° and mailinguistioner of the actu to priority of the actu	interest of cited documents: Interest defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. Interest defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. Interest defining the general state of the art which is not considered and the consideration of the interest consideration of the consideration of the consideration of the interest consideration of the consideratio	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination
Specia decum to be a cartier decum cited a special docume the prio of the actu JUNE 199' e and mailie maissioner o i PCT thington, D.(mile No.	interfering the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance. decrement published on or after the international filing date. The state publication of particular relevance to the property of the international filing date. The state publication of another citation or other reason (as specified) and referring to an oral disclassare, use, artifiction or other relevance to the international filing date but interface to involve an inventive step combined with one or more other such document of particular relevance; the chance of another citation or other relevance to involve an inventive step combined with one or more other such document of particular relevance; the chance at the international filing date but interface to involve an inventive step combined with one or more other such document on a person skilled in the art risk date columns. The document of particular relevance; the chance is	inset invention cannot be invention as invention cannot be invention cannot be invention cannot be when the document in mancian, such combination

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.